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## Establishing the case

## Case 1: Microfinance In India

## Problem statement narrative

Our client is a large microfinance institution in India that has seen its client base (largely rural women earning less than $\$ 3$ a day) growing at over $150 \%$ a year. This is not unusual for private for-profit microfinance institutions in India.

However, the rapid growth has started to generate criticism over perceived high interest rates and harsh collection tactics of loan officers. This came to a head when the media and (local \& state) started to blame farmer suicides on microfinance-induced overindebtedness and harsh collection practices.

What should our client do in-response?

## Guidance for interviewer and information to be

provided on request

- Microfinance is a huge untapped market in India.

Demand met is $\$ 5 \mathrm{Bn}$ of $\$ 55 \mathrm{Bn}$

- Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) cropped up in the early-late 1990s as non-profit institutions (NGOs)
- In the early-to-mid 2000s, these NGOs began to convert to for-profit institutions in order to access commercial capital that would help them scale
- The average MFI interest rate is between 25-30\%
- Because many MFIs are now for-profit, critics contend that MFls are making money off the poor through their 'high' interest rates
- At that time, our client provided financial services to around 1 mm women clients in 5 states in India
- Loan officers are all from villages themselves, and are often incentivized according to number of collections and drop-out rate


## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Market Analysis/Environment

- Is this an industry-wide perception problem?
- Explore criticisms from government and media (what are motivations? Are they purely altruistic or something more nuanced?)


## Possible follow-up and guidance to interviewer

- Main critiques are : "high" interest rates and harsh collection tactics - Important note: Our client (and other private MFIs) compete with government providers of microfinance - majority of government voter base is rural poor, so private MFls are stepping on purview of grnt (govnt view)


## External Response

- Explore competitive environment
- Who are players? Private vs government
- Do they use harsh collection practices? Do we?
- What interest rates do they charge?
- Opportunities to strengthen brand and image?


## Possible follow-up and

guidance to interviewer

- Other private MFIs are allies, though two have engaged in harsh collection practices. Our client has disassociated from them.
- Government programs are highly subsidized (world bank), so can charge much lower interest rates. Still, their default rates are much higher. Show cost of borrowing slide.


## Internal Response

- Training programs
- for loan officers to ensure no harsh collection practices are used
- for area managers to deal with press and criticism
- Explore possibility of reducing interest rate


## Possible follow-up and guidance to interviewer

- For interest rate exploration, wait for them to ask about cost structure, and then show cost structure slide. Ask them to analyze


## Handouts \& Math

## Math Question

There is a lot of controversy over interest rates - should our client cut interest rates? Responder should ask about 1 . cost structure of $\mathrm{MFI} / 2$. competitor interest rates and 3 . ability of clients to repay loans. For \#3, ask responder to calculate returns on investment....

## Overall approach, good shortcuts \& solution

- For cost structure of the MFI - show chart. Shows that admin costs and cost of lending are extremely high, and profits are less than $2 \%$. Thus, interest rates seem ok.
- For competitor interest rates - show bar graph. This shows that compared to government programs (state bank programs mainly), our client has a lower cost of borrowing because we have no travel costs (our loan officers go to our clients each week to collect interest payment or deliver loans) and client does not take bribes. However, Govt banks heavily subsidize their loans and charge lower interest rates that do not reflect the true cost of of borrowing
- For ability to repay, resopnder should answer in two parts: 1. what is default rate $=$ (less than $2 \%$ )

2. Calculate returns on investment

## Math question

1. A typical investment by a woman MFI client is to buy a buffalo. What is her return on investment in this decision?
Supporting numbers (provide if asked):
Cost of buffalo: 10,000 Rs (Rupees)
Useful life of a buffalo: 1 year ( 300 days per year)
Cost to maintain buffalo: Rs 2 per day
Milk per buffalo per day: 8 litres
Market price for litre of milk: Rs 8 per litre Ignore time value of money, buffalo siring progeny and other uses of buffalo

## Answers

Answer: ROI = (Revenue - Cost - Investment) / Investment $=$ Milk in litres per day * \# of days buffalo can provide milk * price of milk * of useful years - investment - cost per day) / Investment
$=((8 * 300 * 8 * 1)-(10000)-(300 * 1 * 2)) /(10000)$
$=\underline{86 \%}$ over 1 year investment period (Note: this is
actually much higher than the reqd. int. rate of $23-25 \%$ )

## Cost Structure of Client



## Actual Cost of Borrowing and Interests Rates charged among Competitors in MFI space



## Recommendation and bonus questions

| Recommendation | -Client should begin vigorous PR and marketing campaign (press conferences, interviews with media) - <br> emphasizing transparency of rates, the cost of borrowing among competitors, and the ROI of clients <br> - Operationally, client should not reduce interest rate as $2 \%$ profits serve to fuel organization growth <br> and benefit a larger proportion of the rural Indian population. (Rates are in line with other pvt MFIs) |
| :---: | :--- |
| Risks | - If competitor private MFIs drop interest rates, our client might face loss of portfolio/clientele, as well <br> as increased criticism from media or government |
| Next Steps | - Continue to explore innovations to streamline processes to reduce interest rates. <br> - Look into renegotiating cost of lending terms with banks |

## BONUS

-Why do you think the ROIs are so high for microentrepreneurs?

- Use of family labor (not paid for, and may not have other employment opportunities)
- Low infrastructure costs (ex. stores run out of home, pottery wheels are manually operated)
- No tax and legal costs (operating in an informal economy - taxes and legal costs are not applicable)
- Capital costs are a small \& of total costs (even at $25-30 \%$, interest rate on working capital loans are small (from 1-4\%) compared to income streams and total business costs of poor clients


## Establishing the case

## Case2 : China Outsourcing Opportunity

BCG: Round 1, practice mock case

## Problem statement narrative

The client is a national plastic manufacturer in the US. Their customers are supermarkets and discount retailers. They are looking to outsource manufacturing to China but the CEO is concerned because no one else in the industry has done this yet. Should we recommend to our client to outsource to China?

```
Guidance for interviewer and information to be
provided on request
3 Product lines - freezer bags, plastic plates and utensils, and specialty plates and utensils
```


## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Cost Savings

- Fixed and variable costs of producing the US vs. China
- Suppliers?
- Transportation Costs
- Tariffs


## Possible follow-up and

guidance to interviewer

- See handout to calculate differences in costs


## Consumer Preferences

- Preferences regarding quality
issues with products
- Seasonality of products


## Possible follow-up and

guidance to interviewer
-Quality :Freezer Bags - lower quality in China

- Plastic Plates - equal quality
- Specialty Plates - equal quality
-Consumer Prefs: Freezer Bags - commodity,
but quality very important
-Plastic Plates - commodity, quality not issue
-Specialty Plates - seasonal business, trend
is important


## PR / Brand Risks

- Layoffs in the US
- Labor standards in China


## Possible follow-up and

 guidance to interviewer- PR - not big issue, no real information
- More important to show awareness of these risks


## China Outsourcing Opportunity - Handout

| Math Question | Calculate the Costs of Outsourcing in China |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## China Outsourcing Opportunity - Solution



## Solution element - recommendation et al.

| Recommendation | Recommend outsourcing the paper and plastic plates to China. Keeping the other lines in the US due to <br> quality and trend issues. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Risks | Change in fixed overhead costs currently if move production to China. Additional mfg capacity - other <br> product lines, rent out to another company, close certain lines; PR / Brand image risks |
| Next Steps | Research risks mentioned above to determine whether beneficial to implement |


| BONUS |
| :--- |
| What might be some other benefits? |
| - Potentially easy access into the growing Asian |
| economies in the plastic market. |

## Establishing the case

## Case3: Caskets

## LEK: Round 1

## Problem statement narrative

A casket company is considering buying another company. What factors would you consider in assessing how much they should pay?

Lets ignore synergies and financing-specifically, how we would put a value on this company.

## Guidance for interviewer and information to be

 provided on request- Acquirer is market leader
- Target has 10\% of market


## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

| Revenue-Size the market | Costs |
| :--- | :--- |
| We would need to estimate <br> deaths/year and then \% that use <br> caskets and what \% of the market <br> the target has. <br> We could use our pricing to estimate <br> theirs. | We could use our costs to estimate <br> theirs. |
|  |  |

## Sample solution element - Math



| Overall approach, good shortcuts \& solution |
| :--- |
| If the average life expectancy is 80 years old and if there |
| are 300 mm people in the US, then every year $1 / 80$ of the |
| US pop is dying and so it must be $300 \mathrm{~mm} / 80=3.75 \mathrm{~mm}$. |
|  |


| Follow up Question: |
| :--- |
| It turns out the answer is 2.4 mm . How could this be true if <br> your numbers were correct? |
| $\qquad$ |
| Answer |
| The distribution of ages in the US is not uniform so we are <br> not losing $1 / 80$ of our population as the fattier part of the <br> curve is in their 50 s and 60 s. Right now we are losing less <br> than $1 / 80$, but soon we will lose more. |

## Sample solution element - recommendation et al.



## Establishing the case

## Case4 : Bottled Water Market

## Deloitte: Round 1

## Problem Statement Narrative

Our client is a leading beer manufacturer that has been experiencing stagnant sales in an increasingly competitive industry, so it is trying to evaluate growth opportunities.

A high level executive at the client site has noticed a steady and substantial increase in the consumption of bottled water products.

Our client is facing increased competition from microbreweries, and has already explored ways to penetrate the international market; however, this alone will not enable them to meet their current financial goals.

## Problem Statement Narrative (cont'd)

Believing that the assets required to produce bottled water are very similar to that of beer, the client wants to recommend to the CEO that they begin production of bottled water products. He has asked our firm to help build the case for why they should enter the bottled water market to achieve their sales and profit goals.

This is an open-ended case. Let the candidate drive the case. Provide information only when asked.

## Goal

- First, client wants to see if the breakeven point seems reasonable.
- If it is reasonable, how should the client proceed in bringing the product to market?


## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Market/Customer Information

- Annual growth rate around $10 \%$.
- Average price per $120 z$ bottle of water is $\$ 1.50$
- Current market for bottled water is \$1.5B or 1B bottles


## Customer

- Water customers are men and women 15-65 who lead an active lifestyle. This demographic is growing $5-6 \%$ CAGR


## Possible follow-up and <br> guidance to interviewer

- Client has recently acquired a local distributor and has used up a lot of its existing cash position. Client has \$25M left.
- For purposes of this case, assume that the client has no access to capital markets to raise additional funds.


## Profitability Assessment

- Partners require \$20M upfront to begin the venture. This is the initial investment cost.
- Production cost per bottle: $\$ 0.50$.
- Distribution cost per bottle: $\$ 0.20$
- Breakeven Point
\$20M/(\$1.50-.50-.20) = 25M units


## Possible follow-up and

## guidance to interviewer

- Potential partners include soda and juice manufacturers, and other beer manufacturers
- Due to a decline in sales, two soft drink manufacturers have excess capacity that they are willing to outsource.
- Each one could produce 200 M bottles per year. Cost <\$25M


## Manufacturing

Capabilities/Competition

- Plants are currently running at full capacity producing beer
- Building a new facility would cost \$50M


## Competitive Analysis

- Recent industry analysis suggests that current manufacturing capacity of major water producers will not satisfy domestic demand


## Possible follow-up and guidance to interviewer

- Client has one of the industry's most extensive and efficient distribution networks for beer, which overlaps many but not all potential outlets for bottled water
- Client has recently invested in a new marketing campaign, with an outside advertising agency.


## Sample Solution

| •Breakeven Point: $\$ 20 \mathrm{M} /(\$ 1.50-.50-.20)=25 \mathrm{M}$ units |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Math Question | •Total number of bottles sold in the market: $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{~B}$ Revenues $/ \$ 1.50$ Price Per Unit $=1 \mathrm{~B}$ units |
|  | •Breakeven Market Share: 25 M units $/ 1 \mathrm{~B}$ units $=2.5 \%$ |

## Overall Approach

Candidate should first explore the market potential for bottled water and determine whether this an attractive market to enter. This includes determining whether the breakeven point seems reasonable, what the competitive landscape looks like, and if there is sufficient demand.

Client will only need to grab $2.5 \%$ of the bottled water market to break-even with its initial upfront cost of 20 M . This is reasonable.

Next, candidate should explore the capabilities of the company, including production, financial, and sales/distribution.

Specifically with production, candidate should weigh the pros and cons of outsourcing or building their own production facility.

## Solution

In the case, we find that the bottled water market is attractive. Competition cannot meet current demand and the client will only need to sell 25 M bottles to breakeven within the first year, which is basically $2.5 \%$ of the market. The bottled water market and the target customer demographic is steadily growing, which also builds your case to enter the market.

With plants running at full capacity, the client has two options: lease or rent extra capacity from nearby factories or build a new facility. With only $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$ in the bank, our client will find that outsourcing is the most attractive option. It is within the company's financial capabilities, can produce the desired number of units, and is less risky of a move. The client can leverage it's current sales and distribution network to sell its bottled water products.

## Sample solution element - recommendation et al.

| Recommendation | II recommend that our client enter the bottled water market. Given our calculations, we found that our client will only <br> need to grab $2.5 \%$ of the market to breakeven within the first year. Competition cannot meet current demand, the <br> market for bottled water is growing at a $10 \%$ rate, and we can effectively leverage our existing distribution networks <br> to bring this product to market. Leasing extra capacity from a nearby production facility is the less expensive option <br> and is a less risky of move in the event our client wants to exit the bottled water market in the near future." |
| :---: | :--- |
| (Action First) |  |

## Notes For Candidate

This is a classic market entry case. Before beginning, always define the financial goal of the company. Some clients want to achieve $15 \%$ profit growth, some want to breakeven within a certain number of years, etc.

Areas worth exploring include:

- Market: estimated demand, growth rate, penetration rate, upfront investments, and unit price and cost.
- Capabilities: financial, production, distribution, sales, etc. Think "value chain."
-Customer: preferences, purchase criteria, target demographic, etc.
-Competition: Market concentration, potential responses, etc.
- Risks: legislation, etc.


## Establishing the case

## Case5 : De Beers Retail Venture

Bain : Round Final

## Problem statement narrative

De Beers, one of the leader diamond exploration companies in the world, is thinking about entering the retail business. Should De Beers do so?

## Guidance for interviewer and information to be provided on request

- It is crucial to understand De Beers' value chain: exploration - extraction - distribution - polishing and finishing - jewelers - retail. De Beers is currently in exploration, extraction and in distribution and would like to enter retail. They won't, however, enter polishing or jewelling. Basically, De Beers wants to take advantage of their brand equity to sell finished diamonds (e.g. engagement rings). They would continue selling raw diamonds to polishers and they would then buy finished diamonds from jewelers.


## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Business

- The candidate should fully understand the value chain of De Beers.
- Competition
- Industry


## Possible follow-up and

guidance to interviewer

- The interviewer should explain the value chain as highlighted in the previous exhibit.
- The candidate can ignore competition and could assume that the industry is healthy.



## Profits

- Revenues: understand the pricing structure and the revenues of selling the end product.
- Costs: Understand De Beers' cost for a retail venture.


## Possible follow-up and guidance to interviewer

- The interviewer can provide revenue information to the candidate by telling him/her about the information in the exhibit in page 5.
- The interviewer should provide the candidate with fixed cost information.


## Case Sequence

## As this is a command and control case, the interviewer must direct the candidate in

 the following sequence:
## Sequence Part 1

- Interviewer asks original question as explained in the first exhibit.
- The candidate must develop a framework and explain his reasoning. The candidate must fully understand the value chain. If the candidate does not, the interviewer must prompt questions to help the candidate understand it.
- The interviewer must ask the candidate about the main fixed costs. A good answer should include: real estate (rent), salaries, security, insurance, fixture, etc. The interviewer should ask the candidate to estimate the cost of real estate rent for one store. The interviewer should let the candidate think about some key issues (such as location). Eventually the interviewer must say that the store will be located in London and the costs will be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& £ 300 \text { per square meter } \\
& 5,000 \text { square meters }
\end{aligned}
$$

The candidate should reason that the cost per year is $£ 300 \times 5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times 12$ months $=£ 18$ million

- The interviewer should ask the candidate to calculate the gross margin on the company's 5 products as shown in the next exhibit.


## Case Sequence

## De Beers' Retail Venture Products to be sold in the London store

|  |  |  | Part 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Products | Price | Gross Margin | Profit | Allocation Mix | Revenues |
| P1 | £300 | 20\% | £60 | 20\% | £12 |
| P2 | £500 | 30\% | £150 | 40\% | £60 |
| P3 | £1,000 | 10\% | £100 | 10\% | £10 |
| P4 | £1,800 | 20\% | £360 | 20\% | £72 |
| P5 | £5,000 | 30\% | £1,500 | 10\% | £150 |
|  |  |  |  | 100\% | £304 |
| Units to be sold to break even |  | 59,211 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 60,000 |  |  |  |

The interviewer should not provide the candidate with the exhibit. The interviewer should call the numbers and the candidate should organize his/her thoughts. The interviewer should provide the candidate with the information included in the products, price and gross margin columns. The candidate should calculate the profit column. The interviewer should then ask the candidate how many diamonds should be sold to break even? The candidate should then ask for the number of units sold for each product. The interviewer can provide the candidate with the historical allocation mix. The candidate can then proceed to find the breakeven point as shown in the exhibit above. The calculations are as follow:
To break even set profits $=0$.
$0=(0.2)(12)+(0.4)(60)+(0.1)(10)+(0.2)(72)+(0.10)(150)-18 \mathrm{M}$
De Beers should sell 59,211 or $\sim 60,000$ diamonds per year to break even.

## Case Sequence

## As this is a command and control case, the interviewer must direct the candidate in

 the following sequence:
## Sequence Part 3

- The interviewer should ask the candidate whether it is feasible to sell 60,000 diamonds in one year. The candidate should think creatively to answer this question. One good approach is as follows:
"A good way to think about the feasibility of selling 60,000 diamonds in a year in each store is to translate this into how many diamonds should be sold on a given day or on a given hour. Thus, to sell 60 K diamonds in a year, the store must sell 5 K diamonds per month. Assuming that the store is open 20 days per month (a 5 -day work week) then the store must sell 250 diamonds per day. If the store is open 10 hours per day, then the store should be selling 25 diamonds per hour." Clearly, it is very unlikely to sell 25 diamonds per hour. The typical consumer usually shops around before buying say, an engagement ring.
- The interviewer should then ask the candidate what to do. The candidate could mention different things but must mention that the biggest cost driver is the real estate. The size of the store is too large given the business ( $5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ are not necessary to sell diamonds which are quite small). Other locations could also be explored.


## Sample solution element

| Recommendation | De Beers should re-think its strategy to enter the retail business. As things are now, it should sell about <br> 25 diamonds per hour per store to break even, a situation that is highly unlikely. <br> De Beers should first focus on reducing the size of the store to reduce its fixed cost. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Risks |  |
| Next Steps | Other consideration is selling only the most profitable products such as P5 and P2. <br> Other locations could also be considered. |

## Establishing the case

# Case6 : Hospital Administrative Software 

Booz \& Company: Round 1

## Problem Statement Narrative

- Our client sells software that runs on hospital operating systems across the nation. The software is used for administrative tasks, such as back-office operations and clinical record-keeping.
-The company used to be a market leader, but its share has steadily been declining. Specifically, sales have dropped. - Our client has engaged our consulting firm to find out why sales have dropped and to provide recommendations that will address this issue.

Before diving into the case, ask the candidate to size the market. Information to be given upon request:
-Replacement rate is every 10 years

- A software sale is worth $\$ 9 \mathrm{M}$ each, but because it takes 3 years to install, the company receives the money in three installments of \$3M each year.
- Only one software product is needed for each hospital.


## Guidance For Interviewer

After sizing the market, candidate should find out why sales have been declining. Information to be given upon request:
-The market is growing at $15 \%$

- Competition can install their products within 2 years.
-The sales force says that the product is hard to sell to hospitals. Installments can be delayed and can take sometimes up to 4 years to complete installation.
-When installations are delayed, software "patches" have to be developed in order to make the software function properly in the meantime. This can be costly in terms of programming and training costs.
- Customers prefers simple products that are easy to use and navigate
- Customers feel that the client's product has more features than needed. Makes it complex and lengthens installation time.
-R\&D unit often adds features to the product without any market research first.


## Sample solution element - Math

## Math Question

Sizing the Market (sample solution): We have one hospital that serves the 50,000 people within in my community. We can use this as a rule of thumb. If there's 300 M people in the US, then there's 6,000 hospitals in the nation ( $300 \mathrm{M} / 50,000$ ). Every 10 years, a hospital will replace its software, so demand is 600 new software per year ( 6,000 hospitals/ 10 years). 600 software units demanded $\times 9 \mathrm{M}=\$ 5.4 \mathrm{~B}$ in revenue per year

## Overall Approach

After sizing the market, the candidate should find out why sales have been declining.

Start by looking at the external environment. This should include asking about the growth rate of the market, about any changes in the industry landscape, new legislation or technology, the products of competitors, and customer preferences.

Afterwards, look internally. Find out whether the client's product is meeting customer standards. Ask about the price- how does it compare to competitor's products? Find out about the client's capabilities, such as research and development and its sales force- are they being compensated fairly? Sales and marketing are ultimately responsible for top-line growth, so that's an area to touch on.

## Recommendation

I would recommend that our client simplify its product features in order to make it easier to use for our client's customers. This will also reduce the time it takes to implement the software, bring down the costs associated with delayed implementation, and put our client on par with its competitors in terms of implementation time.

Additionally, I would look into creating control policies within the research and development department in order to provide structure to adding new product features. Doing so will help reduce unnecessary research and development costs.

## Establishing the case

## Case7 : Jamaican Land Investment

## BCG: Round 1

## Problem statement narrative

## Our client is thinking about buying a piece of land in Jamaica for $\$ 3000$ and has asked us to determine whether or not this is a good idea.

## Information to be given only upon request

-The price of the land is $\$ 3000$
-Total acreage: 10 acres

- Financial Target: $\$ 4,500$ profit within first two years, excluding $\$ 3000$ purchase price
- When prompted about use of land, ask candidate to brainstorm possibilities before giving him/her the answer: real estate development, farming, hold and sell it once it appreciates, etc.)
-Land will be used for agriculture
- Trees, Shrubs, Fruit, Exotic Flowers
- Cannot mix products (trees and shrubs) on same acre. Only one type of plant allowed per acre.
-Price per plant
- Tree \$50, Shrub \$35, Fruit \$15, Exotic \$25
- Variable Cost per plant
- Tree \$30, Shrub \$25, Fruit \$11, Exotic \$17


## Information to be given upon request (cont'd)

-Fixed Cost: \$500 initial set up (first year only), \$350 per year for salaried labor

- Market Demand per year
- 5000 Trees, 1000 shrubs, 1000 fruit, 2500 exotic flowers
-Penetration rate: competitors cannot meet current demand.
- Competitors have $60 \%$ tree share, $20 \%$ shrub share, $85 \%$ fruit share, $90 \%$ exotic flower share...the remaining shares can be captured by our client.
-How many plants can fit on an acre? When asked about how much of each plant can fit onto an acre, throw the question back and ask: "which plants do you think would have less of per acre?" (trees and shrubs b/c they take up a lot of room)
- 10 Trees/acre
- 25 Shrubs/acre
- 75 Fruit/acre
- 50 Exotic Flowers/acre


## Case Sequence

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Market Size/Est. Demand

## Demand

Market Size x Client Penetration Rate
-5000 trees $\times 40 \%=2000$ units

- 1000 shrubs $\times 80 \%=800$ units
- 1000 fruit $\times 15 \%=150$ units
- 2500 exotic $\times 10 \%=250$ units


## Supply

*Client's capability to meet estimated demand is dependent on the number of acres it has ( 10 acres).

## Possible follow-up and <br> guidance to interviewer

## Go From Most Profitable To Least

-Exotic Flowers: 250 units demanded/50 units per acre $=5$ acres used fpr Exotic Flowers
-Fruit: 150 units demanded/75 units per acre $=2$ acres used for Fruit
-Shrubs: 800 units demanded/ 25 units per acre= all remaining acres ( 3 acres used for Shrubs)

Total \# of acres should add to 10 !

| Margins Per Unit |
| :--- |
| P-C $=$ Profit per unit |
| $\$ 50-\$ 30=\$ 20$ per Tree |
| $\$ 35525=\$ 10$ per Shrub |
| $\$ 15-\$ 11=\$ 4$ per Fruit |
| $\$ 25-\$ 17=\$ 8$ per Exotic |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## Profitability Per Acre

## \# of units per acre x profit per unit

10 trees per acre $\times \$ 20=\$ 200$ /acre
25 shrubs per acre $\times \$ 10=\$ 250$ /acre
75 fruit per acre $\times \$ 4=\$ 300 /$ acre
50 exotic flowers per acre $\times \$ 8=$
\$400/acre

## Possible follow-up and guidance to interviewer

To make the case more challenging, ask: -How would you price each unit? (costbased pricing, look at competitors prices, price by segment (premium supermarkets vs. fruit stands, etc.)
-If demand was there, would you use all 10 acres for exotic flowers? Why or why not? (important to diversify products!)

## Sample solution element - recommendation et al.

## Recommended Approach

Clarify the Problem: Interviewee should always spend time clarifying the objective(s) upfront. Find out what the land will be used for, how many acres, financial target of client, etc. in order to come up with a more precise structure and to avoid stumbling later on in the case.

Determine Demand \& Assign Plants To \# of Acres Based on Profitability: Interviewee should determine total demand of each product and the client's penetration rate (market share that client can grab). After finding estimated demand, interviewee should look to assign each type of plant to a specific number of acres. This involves figuring out the margins per unit, the profitability per acre of each plant (most profitable plants get first priority in acre assignments), and how many plants can fit onto an acre.

Determine If Investment Would Meet Client's Financial Target: Once interviewee assigns plants to a specific number of acres, he/she should calculate the total profitability for the first two years. Interviewee should take into account the fixed costs for each year. Running the numbers, he/she will find that the investment will exceed the client's financial target of \$4,500.

## Sample solution element - recommendation et al.

| Recommendation | "My recommendation to our client is to invest in the Jamaican property. My calculations show that we <br> would achieve $\$ 5,500$ in profit within the first two years, exceeding our client's financial target by <br> (Answer First) |
| :---: | :--- |
| Risks | "Beofore making a final decision, however, I would look into the growth rate and expected demand for these agricultural <br> products, and any risks from natural disasters such as hurricanes, drought, plant diseases, etc." |
| Next Steps | "At this point in time though, given my calculations, investing in this land looks like a great idea." |

## Notes

- It is important to let the candidate drive the case!
-The objective of the case is vague and ambiguous. Candidates must spend time clarifying what exactly a "good idea" is in the eyes of the client.
-Before calculating the figures, it's always a good idea to tell the interviewer what you're going to do next.
-Remember to label your units and keep your math neat. Use as much paper as necessary.
- Once you arrive at a figure, step back and explain what the number means for the benefit of both you and the interviewer.


## Establishing the case

## Case8 : Academic Performance of Students

## McKinsey: Round 1

## Problem statement narrative

A public school system in a city has 130,000 students in total. The average score of the students in a state wide exam is much lower than the scores of the students from the rest of the state. The key question is "how do you improve the academic performance of the students in the city?"

```
Guidance for interviewer and information to be
provided on request
There are in total 13 grades in the public school system
Elementary: KG to \(6^{\text {th }}\) grade
Junior High: \(7^{\text {th }}\) and \(8^{\text {th }}\)
High School: \(9^{\text {th }}\) to \(12^{\text {th }}\)
```


## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## School

## Question: What are the key areas you would explore to identify the causes for decline in academic performance?

School: Teachers and Support System, Quality of teachers, What kind of support system does the school have?

## Possible follow-up and

 guidance to interviewerSupport System in school comprises of Admin, Warehouse for storing textbooks and special education (private coaching) How will you identify from these three areas where the problem could be?

| Student | External Factors |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student: Time required to study, <br> availability of study materials such <br> as text books, Lesson plan and <br> syllabus consistent with the exam | External factors such as private <br> coaching, parental assistance etc: <br> Do students need extra coaching <br> from parents? Are there more <br> distractions in the city as opposed to <br> the rest of the state? |

## Sample solution element - Math

| Each student orders 2 math books, There are equal number of students in each grade. The inefficiency is contributed $75 \%$ due to warehousing and $25 \%$ due to online orders. Due to improvements suggested by McKinsey, new warehouse model would improved the efficiency by $50 \%$ and online orders would improve by $80 \%$. How many additional math books should Junior High school get on-time with the improved efficiency in the system? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Overall approach, good shortcuts \& solution | Information to provide up front |
| <There are in total 130,000 students <br> Junior high school comprises of two grades. 10,000 students in each grade. Therefore 20,000 students order 2 math books which is 40,000 $\begin{aligned} 25 \% \text { of the inefficiency } & =25 \% \text { of } 40,000 \\ & =10,000 \end{aligned}$ <br> $75 \%$ of inefficiency due to warehousing $=75 \%$ of 10,000 | Everything except that the number of students per grade is equal |
| Inefficiency due to online orders $\quad=2500$ <br> Improvements in warehousing $=50 \%$ of 7500 | Provide information if asked |
| $=3750$ $\begin{aligned} \text { Improvements in online orders } & =80 \% \text { of } 2500 \\ & =2000 \end{aligned}$ | Number of students per grade is equally distributed |
| Therefore new additional math books <br> That arrive on time $=5750$ $(3000+2750)>$ |  |

## Sample solution element - recommendation et al.

| Recommendation | Given the bonus question, what are immediate steps you would take to move $6^{\text {th }}$ graders to junior high? <br> 1.Estimate the junior high schools that have lower capacity utilization since you don't want to build <br> additional capacity which could be expensive <br> 2.Re-distribute teachers to maintain the same or better teacher to student ratio |
| :---: | :--- |
| Risks | Junior high may not have capacity utilization <br> Underutilized capacity in elementary schools may lead to unnecessary maintenance over head <br> Might need to spend extra money to maintain the support system in junior high> |
| Next Steps | Evaluate these risks |

## BONUS

We decided to move the $6^{\text {th }}$ grade from elementary to junior high school. What are the implications of that? Capacity Utilization, costs associated. The current capacity utilization is $80 \%$. Currently the elementary schools can host 6000 students. However only 4800 students are enrolled. 600 students are $6^{\text {th }}$ grade (given only if the candidate asks). What is the new capacity utilization by moving $6^{\text {th }}$ graders to junior high. It will be $4200 / 6000=70 \%$. What is the $\%$ change in capacity utilization ( $10 \%$ - since 80 to 70 ) What are the implications of this?

## Establishing the case

# Case9 : Mobile Phone Insurance 

Bain (London): Round 1

## Problem statement narrative

Your client is an insurance company (INSURANCECO) that is in the business of insuring equipment for large mobile carriers in the US. INSURANCECO would like you to advise them on how to increase revenues.

## Guidance for interviewer and information to be

provided on request

- INSURANCECO is a monopoly and it only sells to the largest 4 US mobile carriers.
- Recognize the value chain: The insurance company provides insurance service to the carriers but does not charge the carriers. The carrier simply collects money from its customers, keeps a small \% (for the purposes of this case assume this to be 0 ), and sends the rest to the insurance company. If a customer loses/damages a phone and needs replacement, they directly call the insurance provider, and the insurance provider sends them a refurbished phone after doing some basic checks. The customer pays a deductible to the insurance provider
- As an example, we can explore MOBILECO, a carrier with 40 million subscribers. Currently, 20\% of the subscribers have insurance. What would the impact of an increase in the insurance subscribers to $25 \%$ of the carrier subscribers?


## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Business

Candidate should make sure he/she understand the value chain and the business (including the revenue streams)

## Possible follow-up and <br> guidance to interviewer

The value chain is explained in the previous exhibit

The revenue streams are also explained in the previous exhibit. As for follow up, the interviewer should direct the candidate to the exhibit with the revenues for the MOBILECO.


## Customers

Who uses insurance? What \% of total subscribers?
This is also an opportunity for the candidate to explore/recognize that other insurance plans could be developed (use your creativity)

## Possible follow-up and <br> guidance to interviewer

Only about $20 \%$ of the carriers subscribers use insurance. Most people consider mobile insurance "unnecessary"

## Initial Questions

$\square$ What are some channel strategies that INSURANCE Co. can use?

- Selling through mobile provider
- Have insurance as an option on phone sales whether it is online or in-store (current model)
- Direct sales to consumers
- Sales to businesses
$\square$ What are revenues and costs per customer?
- Revenues are the monthly fee (subtracting channel margin) + deductible (\$50)
- Costs are cost of phone (customer pays for shipping and other costs)
- Assume that monthly fee is $\$ 5,0$ channel margin and, $\$ 50$ deductible, $\$ 200$ cost of phone, how many months does it take to breakeven on a customer that orders a replacement?
- $R=C$; $5 x+50=200 ; x=30$ months!
- Key Point here that candidate should identify is that INSURANCECO is highly incentivized to reduce the number of phones that are replaced. Fraud is a big issue in this industry, but this is more on the cost side and not a focus for the rest of the case


## Sample solution element - Math

| Math Question | What is the impact on MOBILECO revenue if there is a $5 \%$ increase ( 20 to $25 \%$ ) in the number of <br> subscribers that buy insurance? |
| :--- | :--- |

## Overall approach, good shortcuts \& solution

If $20 \%$ of 40 million subscribers have insurance and the number will increase to $25 \%$, the number of additional subscribers is 2 million ( $5 \%$ increase). The carrier will receive an additional $\$ 5$ per subscribers or $\$ 10$ million per month.

If MOBILECO increases its insurance subscribership from 20 to $25 \%$ of its subscriber base, MOBILECO will have 2 million more subscribers churning at $2.5 \%$ rather than at $2.8 \%$ (additional revenue of $0.003 \times 2,000,000$ subscribers $X \$ 30=\$ 180,000$ per month). We use a $\$ 30$ base rate to be conservative (i.e. candidate could also use \$35).

Thus the total benefit is an additional revenue of $\$ 10,180,000$ to MOBILCO per month.

## Information to provide up front

Next exhibit should be provided

## Sample solution element - Handout

## MOBILECO Revenue

|  | With Insurance | Without Insurance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ARPU | $\$ 30$ per month | $\$ 35$ per month |
| Churn | $2.8 \%$ per month | $2.5 \%$ per month |

## Establishing the case

# Case 10 : Organic Pizza Crust 

Bain: Round 1

## Problem Statement Narrative

Our client is a natural foods company that has annual sales of $\$ 35 \mathrm{M}$. It makes and sells a variety of organic bread mixes and is thinking about expanding its product line to include an organic pizza crust mix. The CEO has engaged our consulting firm to build the case on whether this is a good idea or not.

## Information to be Given Upon Request

- Estimated Demand: Have candidate size the market.
- Growth Rate: Organic food market growing at $25 \%$
- $10 \%$ of the food market is organic
- Competition: We would be the first to enter the organic pizza crust mix market. Client would compete with regular pizza crust products in the grocery channel market though.


## Information to be Provided Upon Request (cont'd)

- Organic market is highly fragmented.
- Price: it is similar to the current products that the client sells....\$3.50
- Variable Costs: $\$ 1.20$ ingredients, $\$ 1.50$ sales and marketing, \$0.30 labor
- Upfront Investment Cost for New Equipment: \$30,000
- Customers seem to be demanding more organic products and more products for their home bread machines.
- Client has all the value chain capabilities in place
- Product: it's a pizza crust mix that customers can bake in their home ovens.
- Distribution: thinking about selling to gourmet, natural foods, and/or grocery channels.
- Gourmet and natural foods channels will have higher margins and less competition


## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Market Sizing

There are 300 M people in the United States and 3 people per household, so approximately 100 M households. Most households have pizza twice per month on average, so that's approximately 24 pizzas annually per household. We know that people can buy or make their own pizza. People these days lead busy lives, so most will buy. From my own experience, my family made pizza only 2 times last year and we're pretty representative of all households in the US...so 2 units $\times 100 \mathrm{M}$ households $=200 \mathrm{M}$ units of REGULAR pizza crust each year. Organic food is catching on, but makes up only $10 \%$ of the overall food market...so the estimated demand for ORGANIC pizza crust is 20 M units per year. Assuming we are the first movers, we can capture the majority of this amount.

## Possible guidance and follow-

## up to interviewer

20 M units $\times \$ 3.50 /$ unit $=\$ 70 \mathrm{M}$

- Currently making \$35M in revenues.
- Client only needs to sell 60,000 units to break even in a market that demands 20M units annually
- No competition in this area


## Breakeven Analysis

## -Price: $\$ 3.50$

- Variable Costs:
$\$ 1.20+\$ 0.30+\$ 1.50=\$ 3.00$
-Contribution Margin
$\$ 3.50-\$ 3.00=\$ 0.50$
-Fixed Cost: $\$ 30,000$


## Breakeven

$30,000 /(.50)=60,000$ units

## Overall Approach \& Solution

## Overall Approach

Before laying out a structure, candidate should first take time to understand the product, where it will be sold, customer preferences, financial targets of the company (if any), etc. He /she should clarify the problem (provide a go/no go decision and reasons to support it).

Next, candidate should ask about the market size and growth rate. Once figuring this out, he/she should determine what this means for the client. Ask about market penetration rate and competition, investment costs, and profit margins. Conduct a break-even analysis: how many units will the client have to sell to break even? Is this feasible? Assuming client can meet consumer demand, what does the additional revenues mean to the client's existing business? Interviewee should also ask about client's capabilities (financial, production, sales and marketing, distribution, management, etc).

The candidate should move to a recommendation when he or she has enough information...say something like "I think I have enough information to provide a recommendation unless there's another area you'd like me to explore before concluding."

## Recommended Structure <br> (Market Entry/New Product Line)

A. Market: size, growth rate, penetration rate, price or expected margins, costs: investment and variable
B. Customers: Is this a product that customers would want? Purchase criteria?
C. Competition: market share, products and substitutes
D. Capabilities: production capabilities, marketing and sales, distribution, financial, management skills, etc.
E. External Environment: relationships with and access to suppliers, cannibalization, etc.

## Solution

Everything in this case points towards a "go" decision. The organic foods market is growing at a healthy $25 \%$ rate, no competition for the time being, and the client only needs to sell 60,000 units to break-even. The current market demands 20M units. Last, the client is fully capable of bringing this product to market.

## Sample solution element - recommendation et al.

| Recommendation | I recommend that our client launch the organic pizza crust mix. The organic foods market is growing at a $25 \%$ rate and as <br> the first entrant, this product line would double our client's current sales. Moreover, our client needs to only sell 60,000 units <br> to break-even in a current market that demands 20 M units. Sixty-thousand units is not a lot. Our client currently sells <br> approximately 11 M units of its other products annually. Furthermore, our client has the capabilities (financial, production, <br> (Action First) |
| :---: | :--- |
| etc.) to bring this product to market successfully. |  |

## Notes

Market Sizing: McKinsey will embed this in their cases from time to time. So will Bain and Booz. Practice a couple each night to get comfortable with them. More market sizing problems can be on WetFeet's "Ace Your Case" guides accessible via MBACM. When sizing the market, never pull a number from thin air. Make your assumptions known and base it on your personal experience if needed. The interviewer cares more about how you arrived at the answer than the actual answer itself. Also, it helps to quickly structure out the steps you'll take to size the market before jumping in and doing the actual calculations. This makes it easier on you (instead of crunching numbers and deciding what to do next simultaneously) and gives the interviewer the opportunity help you adjust your structure if there is a factor that you didn't initially consider.

## Establishing the case

## Case 11 : Traffic Signal Company

## Oliver Wyman: Round 1

## Problem statement narrative

The client is a company that installs and maintains traffic signals. It is a small company based in California. They are considering expanding into the Tri-state market, especially Manhattan. They want our help to determine whether they should enter the Manhattan market.

```
Guidance for interviewer and information to be
provided on request
What is their goal of entry?
Increase revenues and profits (no specific ROI target)
Is the scope of the case to determine only entry into
Manhattan market?
Yes
Does the client manufacture signals?
No, only installs and maintains
```


## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

| Market |
| :--- |
| Size and growth |
| Competition |
| Business model |
|  |
|  |
| Possible follow-up and |
| guidance to interviewer |
| Size and growth - Size the market <br> (next slide) <br> Business model - Single contract for <br> maintaining ALL traffic signals in <br> Manhattan. Contracts awarded by <br> bidding to highest bidder. <br> Competition - Incumbent is the <br> largest traffic signal company in the <br> North East |


| Revenues | Costs |
| :--- | :--- |
| Revenue drivers: <br> Installation <br> Maintenance | Installation costs <br> Maintenance costs |

## Sample solution element - Math

| Math Question | Market size of traffic signals in Manhattan |
| :--- | :--- |


| Overall approach, good shortcuts \& solution |
| :--- |
| No. of streets in Manhattan, vertical and horizontal. Use this |
| to determine no. of intersections |
| Each intersection has 1 traffic signal system |
| Each system lasts for 20 years |
| Hence, $1 / 20$ of signals replaced every year |
| Installation market - $\$ 10 M(\$ 100,000 * 2000 * 1 / 20)$ |
| Maintenance market - $\$ 30 M(2000 * 15,000)$ |
| Approximate to 80 streets long and 25 streets wide to |
| account for the tapered shape of Manhattan. |
| Hence, 2000 intersections |


| Information to provide up front |
| :--- |
|  |
| Provide information if asked |
| How often signals replaced <br> Revenue streams - installation and maintenance <br> Maintenance to be done for ALL signals and NOT only <br> newly installed ones <br> Price of one signal system - \$100,000 (install) <br> Maintenance - 15,000 / year |

## Sample Solution element - NPV of project

| Calculate the NPV of the project |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Math Question |  |
| Overall approach, good shortcuts \& solution | Information to provide up front |
| Costs <br> Cost of signal system $=\$ 85,000$ <br> Transportation = \$0 (it is sourced locally) |  |
|  | Provide information if asked |
| Labor <br> Cost of installation $=\$ 1050$ | Duration of contract $=3$ years Cost of Installation |
| Cost of maintenance $/$ year $=\$ 560$ | Labor <br> 5 workers |
| NPV | Wage rate/worker/hr = \$35 |
| Revs | Time per signal system $=6 \mathrm{hrs}$ |
| Year 1: \$40M (\$10M instln + \$30M maint.) | Cost of Maintenance |
| Year 2,3: \$30M maint. | Labor |
| Costs | 2 workers |
| Year 1:\$8.6M $(100 *(85,000+1050))+\$ 1.12 \mathrm{M}$ (maint) | Time per signal system $=4 \mathrm{hrs}$ No of incidents per year $=2$ |
| NPV $=\mathbf{8 8 8}$ |  |

## Sample solution element - recommendation et al.

| Recommendation | Yes, the client should enter the market and bid (there's no right answer but a sample case is for bidding <br> at a loss - say $\$ 120 \mathrm{M}$ ) for 2 reasons - <br> 1. It is a profitable venture $-\$ 88 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NPV}$ <br> 2. It is strategically important - Manhattan is largest market. This will take us to the big time |
| :---: | :--- |
| Risks | Implementation risk since we have not done such a large city before. |
| Next Steps | Investigate historical bids by competitors in previous contracts <br> Understand the strategic implications of this market entry and figure out how high we can bid in terms <br> of potential economics benefit s in future |


| BONUS |
| :--- |
| Why may we want to bid at a loss? |
| Strategic importance of the contract - it can get us |
| more cities in future. Manhattan is the largest signal |
| market in the US. |

## Establishing the case

## Case 12 : Travel Channel

## Booz \& Company: Round 1

## Problem Statement Narrative

Our client is a cable television channel that specializes in travel. Sample programs include those that cover popular tourist locations around the world and that expose the audience to different international cultures.

The cable channel currently lacks an audience and is not making any money. The client has engaged our firm to provide a recommendation on to improve the company's financial situation.

## Information to be provided upon request

-The client wants to know what type of audience it should pursue in order to increase profitability.

- It is currently operating in the red and profit above $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ would be substantial.
-Three key demographics the client is thinking about pursuing: Luxury Travelers, Adventure Travelers, Budget Travelers


## Information to Be Provided Upon Request

- Potential Audience with each demographic: Luxury 80,000 viewers, Adventure 50,000 viewers, Budget 150,000 viewers
-Client can only focus on one demographic

Revenue Streams [have candidate brainstorm]
-Revenue per ad slot ....Luxury $\$ 30$ per thousand viewers, Adventure $\$ 35$ per thousand viewers, Budget $\$ 10$ per thousand viewers

- 100 ad slots per day, channel runs 365 days per year
- Cable companies give the travel channel $25 \%$ of subscription fees collected from customers. Total yearly subscription fee collected by cable companies $\$ 70 \mathrm{M}$.


## Costs [Have candidate brainstorm]

-Production costs, marketing, rent, overhead, etc.
-Total annual cost for the client is $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$.

## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Which Demographic to Pursue?

## Estimated Demand <br> Luxury: 80,000 viewers <br> Adventure: 50,000 viewers <br> Budget: 150,000 viewers <br> Luxury: $\$ 30$ per 1,000 viewers <br> Adventure: $\$ 35$ per 1,000 viewers <br> Budge: $\$ 10$ per 1,000 viewers <br> Luxury: $\$ 30 \times 80=\$ 2,400$ per slot Adventure: $\$ 35 \times 50=\$ 1,750$ per slot Budget: $\$ 10 \times 150=\$ 1,500$ per slot <br> Possible follow-up and guidance to interviewer

Competition: no other travel channel exists

## Additional Revenue Streams:

DVDs, product placement on shows, licensing of its shows, sponsorships, channel sponsored trips, etc.

## Revenue

Luxury: $\$ 2,400$ per ad slot $\times 100$ slots per day $=\$ 240 \mathrm{~K}$ per day
$\$ 240 \mathrm{~K}$ per day $\times 365$ days $=$
$\$ 87.6 \mathrm{M}$ in advertising revenue
$\$ 70 \mathrm{M} \times 25 \%=$
\$17.5M in Subscription Fees
Total Revenue
$\$ 87.6 \mathrm{M}+\mathbf{1 7 . 5 M}=\$ 105.1 \mathrm{M}$

| Profit |
| :--- |
| Costs (all inclusive): $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ |
| $\$ 105.1 \mathrm{M}-\$ 100 \mathrm{M}=$ <br> $\$ 5.1 \mathrm{M}$ profit |
| What does this number mean? |
| Compare $\$ 5.1 \mathrm{M}$ to what client is currently |
| making. |

## Sample solution element - recommendation et al.

## Overall Approach and Solution

The candidate should clearly define the problem at the beginning of the case. This will help him or her develop a more detailed and accurate structure to present to the interviewer. Does the client want to improve its top-line or bottom line? Does the company have a financial target?

Next, the candidate should brainstorm all the potential revenue streams for the travel channel. The two key revenue streams are advertising and subscription fees.

In figuring out advertising revenue, the candidate should determine which demographic the travel channel should target. This includes determining the number of viewers for each demographic, the advertising rates that each demographic draws, and the number of advertising slots per day.

Afterwards, the candidate should figure out the costs and subtract them from total revenues to get the profit.

Last, the candidate should explore any potential risks such as competitive response as well as ways to increase revenues.

## Recommendation

My recommendation to our client would be to pursue the luxury traveler audience. Our calculations show that this demographic would bring in $\$ 5.1 \mathrm{M}$ in profits, substantially more than what our client is currently earning.

Areas for further exploration include ways to increase revenue, such as licensing and sponsorship opportunities, the competitive landscape of the cable industry, and ways to decrease costs for our client.

## Establishing the case

## Case 13 : Best Buy

## LEK: Round 1

## Problem statement narrative

Best Buy is approaching Christmas and sales are down and inventory is rising. What should they do in the short term to increase profitability? What should they do in long term to stay competitive?
Factors:
-Circuit City has just declared bankruptcy.
-Walmart is their main competitor.
-There is zero margin on most of their big products like laptops and flat screens.
-The Geek Squad has become quite successful and brought \$1bn in revenue this past year.

## Guidance for interviewer and information to be

 provided on request
## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Increased Inventory

Electronics have high rate of obsolescence so must get rid of inventory quickly. May have to lower prices to do this.

## Store Experience

May want to make exciting store experience like Apple Store and get people in to buy high margin accessories.


## Other Channels

May want to push internet sales more and tie store and internet sales together mixing convenience of store and internet together.

## Return Stuff to Suppliers

Have a lot of leverage with suppliers since you own end-consumer. May be able to send some stuff back, especially with Circuit city closing. May also consider using shared revenue model with suppliers to spread the risk around and align incentives.

## Sample solution element - recommendation et al.

| Final Question | How should BB deal with Circuit City closing? What are risks and gains? |
| :---: | :--- |
| Risks | If they do liquidate, may flood the market with cheap goods and hurt BB's sales. If they restructure, may <br> come out smaller, but stronger and harder to compete with. |
| Next Steps | BB should consider buying CC or buying their goods if they liquidate. They may also want to buy some <br> of their locations if they are strategic and where BB does not have presence. Overall, CC's demise is an <br> opportunity, but if not taken advantage of, it could present danger. |

## Recommendation

## Overall:

Short Term-cut costs, send inventory to suppliers, and maybe lower prices.
Long Term:
Work on in-store experience, continue to promote Geek Squad, and consider strategic acquisitions as Circuit City continues to flounder.

## Establishing the case

## Case 14 : All-Mart

## McKinsey: Round 1

## Problem statement narrative

All-mart (a discount superstore similar to Walmart) is interested in entering the market in Romania and hires McKinsey to help them determine if it will be profitable.

What factors would you consider to determine if this is a good idea or not?

## Guidance for interviewer and information to be provided on request

All-mart is planning on opening their first store in Bucharest (the capital city of Romania).

## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Market conditions (Revenue)

## What is the market size? Growth?

What is the purchasing behaviors of Romanians and how can they be segmented?

How many competitors what is their market share? How do competitors differentiate? How will they respond?

## Possible follow-up and guidance to interviewer

For a market-entry problem, this is the right place to start. We need to determine if there is a large enough market to make it worthwhile for Allmart to build a store. (more information to be provided in math section)


## Strategy

Does entering Romanian market fit with All-mart's overall strategy and culture? Does All-mart have prior experience in entering global markets? Is there a first-mover advantage?

## Possible follow-up and guidance to interviewer

These are points that students should mention also in providing a final suggestion.

## Sample solution element - Math (Part 1)

| Compute the total market size. <br> What potential market size can All-mart realistically capture? Is that a big market size? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Overall approach, good shortcuts \& solution | Information to provide up front |
| ```Total Market Size = Food/Clothing + Electronics Food/Clothing = 2M people * 1350 RON/people/mth * 12 mth/yr *. 35 = 11.34B RON/yr Electronics = 500 USD *3 RON/USD *2 M people = 3B RON/yr``` | Population in Bucharest is 2 Million Avg Salary/person $=1350$ RON* $/$ month <br> *RON (Romanian currency) <br> Provide information if asked |
| Total $=14.24 \mathrm{~B}$ RON $/ \mathrm{yr}=4.78 \mathrm{~B}$ USD $/ \mathrm{yr}$ <br> What \% can All-mart realistically capture? <br> **There are 5 superstores in outskirts of Bucharest, which cover $30 \%$ of the market, the rest being served by smaller stores spread throughout the city. <br> Assume an even share in outskirts ~ 10\% <br> Market Size for All-mart $=239 \mathrm{M}$ USD <br> ** Additional info: You can ask the candidate what other factors they should consider to determine realistic MS. | Romanian spending habits (as \% of salary) <br> 30\% Food <br> 40\% Rent/Utilities <br> 5\% Clothing <br> 25\% Various <br> From an independent study, we know that people in Bucharest spend an average of USD 500 a year in electronics. <br> Conversion from RON to USD: 3 RON $=1$ USD |

## Sample solution element - Math (Part 2)

| Math Question | Now that we have the potential revenues, lets look at the costs. What is the overall costs of running a <br> discount store in Romania as a percent of cost in the U.S.? |
| :--- | :--- |


| Overall approach, good shortcuts \& solution |
| :--- |
| Food $=>4 \%$ of U.S. $(20 \% / 5)$ |
| Clothing $=>20 \%$ of U.S. |
| Electronic $=>40 \%$ of U.S. |
| Salary $=>4 \%$ of U.S. |
| Total Cost in Romania $=\mathbf{6 8 \%}$ of U.S. costs |
|  |

Information to provide up front

See handout in the next slide.

## Sample solution element - Handout for Math (Part 2)

## Additional Information

Food is supplied from local distributors.

Clothing is produced by contractors in Asia - same as in the U.S.

Electronics are supplied by branded organizations (Sony, HP, etc) - same as in the U.S.

Romanian salary is 5 times less than salary in the U.S.

Ask the candidate which categories would have cost savings and why? (Food and Salary because they both use local resources)

## \% Total Cost by Category

|  | U.S. | Romania |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Food | $20 \%$ | $?$ |
| Clothing | $20 \%$ | $?$ |
| Electronics | $40 \%$ | $?$ |
| Salaries | $20 \%$ | $?$ |
| Total Cost | $100 \%$ | $?$ |

## Case 15: Loonilever plc

## (inspired by) McKinsey, Round: 1

## Problem statement narrative

Our client (Loonilever) is a major consumer products company with operations in over 80 countries. Loonilever operates across all categories in the consumer products space - personal wash, hair care, oral care, laundry, household cleaning, skincare etc. Over the last decade or so, it has been losing share to it's key competitors, particularly to B\&G. Loonilever is very keen on regaining/building share in key categories, especially in traditional B\&G strongholds.

Skincare is the most profitable category for the large consumer products players, with gross margins of $\sim 75 \%$ (vs. $30 \%$ for laundry). Loonilever is now evaluating an entry into China's skincare market, a market that B\&G has enjoyed market leadership in for over a decade. Though Loonilever is the market leader in China's laundry segment, it does not currently operate in the Skincare segment.

Lunilever has hired McKinsey to help them determine what their potential entry strategy into the Chinese skincare market should be.

## Guidance for interviewer

- The 'question' to be answered is deliberately worded vaguely, to encourage the interviewee to ask clarifying questions at this stage.
- What Lunilever is actually interested in is:

Can Loonilever achieve (a) revenue of \$100 million in two years time (b) in a market that displays significant potential for future growth?

Provide this information if the interviewee asks for it.

- If the interviewee does not ask clarifying questions at this stage, wait to see if $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ gets to this questions after drawing out the issue tree. If $s / h e$ does not, then deduct points from overall case performance and re-direct the focus of the discussion.


## Issue Tree

## Can Loonilever achieve a revenue target of $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ in two years in a market that offers a significant upside?

| INDUSTRY |
| :--- |
| - Size of market |
| - Segmentation of market |
| - Key players and their share |
| - Consumer/market trends within |
| each segment |


| REVENUE |
| :--- |
| - Volume projections |
| - Pricing |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## OTHER FACTORS

- Gateway to other markets (SE Asia)
- Potential global manufacturing hub
- Bonus points: By challenging established competitors in the skincare space, can destabilize competitors' business model (by making them bleed)


## Guidance for interviewer

- Most candidates are likely to start with 'Industry'. Bonus points if the interviewee addresses all four sub-issues upfront
- Negative points if the candidate draws a 'Cost' bucket. The question is about revenue, not profit.
- The last bucket gives the interviewee a chance to demonstrate business intuition - For example, are there reasons why Loonilever should enter even if they don't achieve the \$100M target?
- Bonus points if the candidate ends the issue tree presentation with a hypothesis - 'l'd like to start with Industry since that will likely provide insight into the most attractive revenue generating opportunities'

What is B\&G's current market share? If it maintains the status quo (same marketing expenditures, no dramatic new product launches etc.), will its market share go up, go down or stay constant in two years time?

## Information to be provided

## -Show Exhibit 1 (proactively) and ask the question listed

 above- Show Exhibit 2 (only if asked for)
- Show Exhibit 3 (only if asked for): This is not crucial to the solution anyway, but can really impress an interviewer if


## Approach and solution

- A strong candidate's first reaction will be:
- China's skincare market is large and growing
- Given B\&G's high market share, it could be a challenging market to break into given B\&G's deep pockets and the skincare category's high profitability. B\&G will probably guard their share very aggressively.
- Answer to Math Question 1: See solution
- Part 1: Current mkt. share $=B \& G$ rev. $/$ market rev.
- Part 2: Project mkt. revenue and B\&G rev. over two years. Apply above formula to calculate future share.
- Key insight: B\&G's overall share declines because it has the largest share of a slow-growing market. The contribution of the sub-segments will change in two years, and therefore, so will $B \& G$ 's overall share


## Approach and solution (contd.)

- Negative points if interviewee says - 'no change in share since market and $B \& G$ are growing at the same rate'
- The math is deliberately complex, to determine an interviewee's comfort with numbers. Bonus points if $s / h e$ rounds off intelligently, and gets to the insight quickly.
- Bonus points if the interviewee draws the discussion back to the main question after answering Math Question 1. Otherwise, guide the candidate by asking, 'So what does that mean for Loonilever's entry strategy?'
- Bonus points if candidate proactively asks what market share Lunilever thinks it can achieve in Year ++2 . Otherwise, provide the details, but deduct points.
- Conclusion: Lunilever should enter the Anti-ageing segment because:
a) It satisfies the $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ criteria
b) The AA segment offers a significant upside because it's growing at $20 \%$
c) The sub-segment also has the highest margins ( $85 \%$ ), a clear plus point for Loonilever.


## Exhibits for Math Question 1

| Exhibit 1: China's skincare market construct |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market segment | Current market $\text { size }{ }^{*}$ | B \& G share | Annual growth projection ** |
| Skin Lightening | 1000 | 50\% | 5\% |
| Anti-ageing | 700 | 20\% | 20\% |
| Moisturizing | 300 | 30\% | 10\% |
| Total | 2000 |  |  |

* all figures in $\$$ millions
** over the next two years

| Exhibit 2: <br> Lunilever test market results |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Market | Projected mkt. |
| Segment | share in t+2 |
| Skin Lightening | $5 \%$ |
| Anti-ageing | $10 \%$ |
| Moisturizing | $20 \%$ |

* Segment size/Overall market size (from Exhibit 1)

| Exhibit 3: Overall segment margins |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Market | Segment |  | Weighted |
| Segment | contribution | Gross margin | margin |
| Skin Lightening | $50 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Anti-ageing | $35 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Moisturizing | $15 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Total |  |  | $74.8 \%$ |

* Segment size/Overall market size (from Exhibit 1)


## Solutions for Math Question 1

| Solution to Q1: What is B\&G's current share and share two years down the line? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market | Year t |  | Year t+1 |  | Year $\mathrm{t}+2$ |  |
| segment | Market | B\&G | Market | B\&G | Market | B\&G |
| Skin Lightening | 1000 | 500 | 1050 | 525 | 1102.5 | 551.3 |
| Anti-ageing | 700 | 140 | 840 | 168 | 1008.0 | 201.6 |
| Moisturizing | 300 | 90 | 330 | 99 | 363.0 | 108.9 |
|  | 2000.00 | 730 | 2220 | 792 | 2473.5 | 861.8 |
|  |  | 36.50\% |  |  |  | 34.84\% |


| Solution to Q1: <br> What does this mean for Lunilever's entry strategy? |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Market | Year t+2 |  |  |
|  |  | Likely |  |
|  | Market | Lunilever | Lunilever |
|  | 1102.5 | $5 \%$ | Revenue |
| Moisturizing | 1008.0 | $10 \%$ | 55 |
| Total | 363.0 | $20 \%$ | 101 |

What's the average price point in the Anti-Ageing (AA) market? What do you think is the ideal AA price point that Lunilever should enter at? (Assume all other information from the earlier question still holds)

## Information to be provided

- Show Exhibit 4: After interviewee has a chance to digest the information, inform them that the price-point space in between is largely unoccupied


## Approach and solution

- The interviewee should get to the average price point very easily. (See solution). The second part of the question tests the candidate's business intuition because there is no right answer. There are three potential approaches, each of which have different implications:
- Solution 1: Take on the MNCs at the top end (@ $\$ 25 / 100 \mathrm{gm}$ )
- Need to cater to a more demanding, higher-income population, probably in large cities, implying need for specific expertise (instore experience, beauty consultant training etc.). Assume that costs are already included in gross margin figures
- Likely to be a very competitive space as major multinationals will likely use their deep pockets and their marketing experience to jealously guard market share.
-Huge profit margin at the top end ( $85 \%$ )
- Solution 2: Compete with 1000+ local brands (@\$5/100gm)
- Relatively low margins (Bonus points : ‘That shouldn’t be an immediate concern since Loonilever is concerned with revenue')


## Approach and solution (contd.)

-Less challenging marketing tasks, since market seems to be commoditized. Local players are unlikely to be as marketingsavvy as the multinationals.

- Distribution network needs to be very strong since the market is likely to be geographically dispersed (Big cities + smaller towns). Bonus points: 'Loonilever is likely to have this distribution system in place since it is the market leader in Laundry (a low price-point category)
- Solution 3: Operate in the middle by being the 'bridge' that upgrades mass-market consumers to the top-end of the market (@\$10-20/100gms). Focus on geographies experiencing rapid income increases
- Takes advantage of Loonilever's two key strengths marketing/branding and a strong distribution network.
- Sacrifice margin (by not operating at the top end) to build revenue base. In line with Loonilever's revenue focus
- Don't need to burn cash in the short-run to directly take on well-entrenched multi-national players at the top end -Bonus points: 'Could force multinational competitors to bleed by forcing them to match lower price point'
-Conclusion: The first two answers are good enough responses if well-argued. If not, probe for underlying logic. Bonus points for those interviewees who identify solution 3


## Exhibits and solution for Math Question 2

| Exhibit 4: China's Anti Ageing Market |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No of brands | Share of revenue | Gross margin | Avg. Price Point* |
| Large multinational brands Local Chinese brands Total | 4 $1000+$ | $70 \%$ $30 \%$ | 93\% $65 \%$ | 25 5 |

* $\mathrm{S} / 100 \mathrm{gms}$

| Solution to Q2: Ideal Price Point |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Share of | Gross | Average |  | Average |
|  | revenue | margin | margin | Price Point* | prince point |
| Large multinational brands | $70 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $65 \%$ | 25 | 17.5 |
| Local Chinese brands | $30 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $20 \%$ | 5 | 1.5 |
| Total |  |  | $85 \%$ |  | 19 |

* $\mathrm{S} / 100 \mathrm{gms}$


## Recommendation

| Recommendation | 1. Loonilever should enter the Chinese skincare market in the Anti-Ageing segment since it can meet the <br> \$100M threshold target that Loonilever had set itself. Moreover, it's a fast-growing market. <br> 2. Loonilever should enter the AA segment at the bottom/top/middle of the market because: (reasons <br> from previous page depending on which option the interviewee goes with) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Risks | We have not considered the impact/nature of a competitive response from the major multinational <br> players. (Bonus points: They are unlikely to allow Loonilever a free run of the market irrespective of <br> which option they choose |
| Next Steps | 1. Conduct a sensitivity analysis for these revenue projections based on different competitor responses <br> 2. Bonus points: 'Now that we've proven that the revenue potential does exist, we'd like to understand <br> the cost side of the business, and do a break-even analysis to identify whether China's AA market <br> can be a profitable business for Loonilever in the long-run' |

## Establishing the case

## Case: BevCo

## McKinsey, Round: 2 (Command and Control Style)

## Problem statement narrative

Your client is BevCo, a global company that sells a wide variety of non-alcoholic beverages. Their operations are predominantly in North America. BevCo sells primarily to retailers, restaurants, bars and wholesalers. Recently, competition has been rising, giving consumers more choices over brand as well as type of beverage. This, in turn, has put pressure on margins. BevCo has hired us to make recommendations on what actions to take.

## Guidance for interviewer and information to be

 provided on requestBevCo is involved in the production and marketing of beverage drinks. They do not distribute

Segments of drinks include:
Sugar (carbonated drinks, e.g. (Coke, Fanta), Juice, Water, Tea/Coffee, Sports (e.g. Gatorade), Energy (e.g. Red Bull), Diet

Some segments, like Energy, have grown considerably in recent years

There are a few other large industry players

## Analysis \#1

## Question

Present the candidate with Exhibit A, and ask him/her to interpret the situation (before diving into any numbers).
After discussing Exhibit A, have the candidate compute total last year sales, this year sales and the overall growth
Next, have the client brainstorm what the consumer drivers behind the shift in industry dynamics are

## Exhibit A Key Takeaways

Some key takeaways from Exhibit A:
-BevCo's sales have decreased significantly in some of their largest segments (e.g. Sugar and Juice drinks) and have increased in segments that are small (e.g. Energy drinks)
-Hypothesis: BevCo has been putting too much emphasis on fast growing market segments, like Energy, at the expense of established market segments, like Sugar and Juice. They should not neglect their main source of profitability
-Hypothesis: BevCo's gain in revenues from Water, Sports, and Energy is less than the loss in Sugar, Juice, Diet, and Tea/Coffee.

Solution

|  | Sales last year <br> (\$,millions) | Growth <br> Sales this year <br> (\$,millions) | CAGR |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sugar | 41 | $-5 \%$ | 39 |  |
| Juice | 18 | $-50 \%$ | 9 |  |
| Water | 17 | $15 \%$ | 20 |  |
| Diet | 15 | $-4 \%$ | 14 |  |
| Sports | 5 | $23 \%$ | 6 |  |
| Tea/Coffee | 3 | $-6 \%$ | 3 |  |
| Energy | 1 | $100 \%$ | 2 |  |
| Total | 100 |  | 93 | $-7 \%$ |

Candidate should observe that overall sales are decreasing as a result of management's efforts to focus more on Energy drinks. Possible consumer drivers: It looks like there is high growth in the Water, Sports and Energy segments. Drivers behind this might include factors such as health, sports, trendiness, demographics. For example, there is a larger proportion of young people in the population. This young crowd is a lot more health conscious, a lot more sporty and cares a lot more about trendiness than previous generations

## Analysis \#2




## Assumptions to be made

## Assumptions:

- US population is 300 million, half of them are male
- Life expectancy in the US is about 80 years
- US population is uniformly distributed (i.e. equal number of people at each age)


## Provide information if asked

- 16-32 males are estimated to have about 20 drinks/year - BevCo thinks they can get a $5 \%$ market share, at a price point of $\$ 3$, and a gross margin of $20 \%$


## Solution

Revenues: 300 million people $\times 50 \%$ male $\times 16 / 80$ people in the target age group $\times 20$ drinks $/ \mathrm{yr} \times 5 \% \mathrm{mkt}$ share x $\$ 3$ price $=\$ 90$ million in revenues

Profit: $\$ 90$ million $\times 20 \%$ margin $=\$ 18$ million
Candidate should recognize this is a huge potential (their largest segment right now is $\$ 41$ million in sales)

## Exhibit A

BevCo sales last year (\$, millions)


BevCo sales growth


## Establishing the case

# Case: Mosquito Repellant 

## Accenture: mock interview

## Problem statement narrative

Your client is a consumer packaged goods company, located in Massachusetts, US. They manufacture and sell two mosquito repellant products. One of them is an esthetic/decorative product to be used outside, e.g. on a balcony or a porch, and the other is a mosquito swatter/zapper (a simple racket). The client manufactures the products outside of the US and sells them in the US.

The profit margins in years 2006 and 2007 were $20 \%$ and $0 \%$, respectively. The client would like to understand what caused the decline in margins and is looking for three to four ideas on how to boost the margins.

## Guidance for interviewer and information to be provided on request

The interviewee might get confused when it comes to the two products and might ask for more specific information. While the case is not about the specifics of the products, but rather profitability, you should not reveal/emphasize this fact from the outset and make sure that the interviewee understands what the products are about and how they function, i.e. the first product needs oil to burn certain substances that keep mosquitoes away, while the second product requires precision and speed in user's hands.

## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Revenue and Costs

## Revenue: explore volume and

 price, historical data, trends, product specific dataCosts: explore fixed costs
(PP\&E, overhead) and variable costs (material labor)
Transportation: since the products are manufactured outside of the US and customers are presumably spread across the US, exploring transportation costs separately makes sense

## Possible follow-up and guidance to interviewer

The interviewee could be probed on her understanding of fixed and variable costs by outlying them more specifically as suggested above. The interviewee should ask for product specific data to demonstrate her understanding on how a product mix affects overall financial performance of a company.

## Industry and Competitors

Industry: explore recent historical data and trends for the overall industry, specifically if there were any recent declines in customer demand and whether that was product specific. Explore causes for that. Competitors: understand the competitive landscape of the industry, major market players, their market shares, products, prices and geographic focuses, as well as the timing of their entry to the market.

## Possible follow-up and

 guidance to interviewerThe interviewee should demonstrate understanding of the industry (i.e. what are the competing products) and how (new and old) competitors can affect profitability of another company, e.g. through introducing a new product, new pricing strategy, advertising strategy etc.

## Customers

Overall: understand the company's customers by exploring their needs, demographics, loyalty, satisfactio n with company's products and any recent changes in their behavior that could affect their needs and/or use of the products.
Demand: explore demand elasticity.

## Possible follow-up and guidance to interviewer

The interviewee should explore whether these characteristics hold for all customer or are rather product specific (product mix understanding).

## Sample solution element - Math

## Math Question

The interviewer should not ask any specific math question. However, the interviewee should attempt to calculate the revenue, costs and profits for years 2006 and 2007, and understand how the product mix affected the financial.

Overall approach, good shortcuts \& solution
The interviewee should quickly calculate the profits for 2006 and 2007 ( $\$ 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ or $20 \%$ and 0 , respectively) and recognize that the decline in profits was due in part to the decline in revenues of the first product and in part due to the increase in variable costs.
Then, the interviewee should explore the reasons for the decline in revenues for the first product (e.g. change in price, new competitive product on the market, change in demand etc.) and the increase in variable costs (e.g. labor, material, transportation, distribution costs etc.). This is a good practice for "MECE" brainstorming.
The reason for both lies in the increase in oil price.
First, because the first product burns oil, customers became reluctant to purchase the product to avoid additional costs due to the increase costs of oil (decrease in revenue). Second, the transportation costs increased, which affected the company significantly due to their offshore production plant (increase in variable cost).

## Information to provide up front

(This information should be asked for by interviewee during the qualitative analysis already.)
Revenue: Total revenue was $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$ in 2006 and $\$ 0.9 \mathrm{M}$ in 2007.

Costs: Total costs were $\$ 0.8 \mathrm{M}$ in 2006 and $\$ 0.9 \mathrm{M}$ in 2007.

## Provide information if asked

Revenue split: In 2006 revenue was split equally between the two products. In 2007 the revenue for the first product dropped to $\$ 0.4 \mathrm{M}$.
Cost split: Fixed costs remained the same from 2006 to 2007 (\$0.5M), while variable costs increase from $\$ 0.3$ in 2006 to $\$ 0.4 \mathrm{M}$ in 2007.

## Sample solution element - recommendation et al.

| Recommendation | The decline in profits was in part due to the decrease in revenues for the first product and in part due to the increased <br> transportation costs (both because of the increase in oil price). The client requested three ideas to boost their revenues; <br> first, they should explore the possibility of reducing transportation costs either through finding less expansive providers <br> or moving their production plant closer to their customers in the US. Second, they should reconsider their product <br> mix, introducing products that are less depended or independent of oil or other substance whose price may vary <br> considerably, and potentially removing their first product from the product mix. Finally, the should explore markets <br> outside of the US. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Risks | All three recommendations may cost the company more than they would save it. Therefore, a cost-benefit analysis for <br> each of them would be necessary to prove/disprove their viability (e.g. savings in transportation costs v. increase in <br> manufacturing costs). |
| Next Steps | Cost-benefit analysis suggested above, analysis of potential new products and their profitability for the <br> company, and analysis of foreign markets. |

## BONUS

The interviewee should point to other factors that may affect the company's profitability such as any regulatory changes (e.g. laws/ordinances prohibiting use of certain products or certain substances), which would affect company's products. The interviewee could also explore whether a 2007 was a peculiar year for mosquitoes (e.g. due to unusually low temperatures or under climate changes, mosquitoes did not pollute the US as in previous years), which in turn would affect the demand after the company's products. Finally, pointing to the economic recession and changes in oil price upfront would add points too.

## Establishing the case

## Case: Cash-Rich Energy Company

## BCG: mock interview

## Problem statement narrative

Your client is an Atlanta-based energy company that sits on piles of cash. They are looking for a good investment and are currently considering an acquisition and consolidation of small service companies that service heating and cooling systems of other companies and households.

The client would like you to help them understand whether this investment financially makes sense.

## Guidance for interviewer and information to be

 provided on requestThe interviewee should focus on the (financial) soundness of the proposed investment. There are several investment criteria that could be applied: ROI (return on investment), breakeven point/payback time, opportunity costs (consider and compare with other investments) and NPV (net present value or calculating discounted cash flows).

If the interviewee jumps into one investment criterion (normally, that would be a simple one-year profitability calculation), the interviewer should push back on the investment criteria and the interviewee should briefly explain how she would proceed under each criterion (i.e. what data would she need, the process of calculating and advantages/disadvantages).

After that, the interviewee should be asked to use revenues and costs after the consolidation.

## Sample solution element - issue tree \& qualitative analysis

## Candidate may propose analysis / action in:

## Revenue

Target companies: Explore expected revenues of the target companies. Consider how the consolidation would affect the revenues (e.g. potential decrease due to cannibalization or additional streams due to increased market power).
Acquiring company: Consider the effect of the acquisition on the acquiring company and its subsidiaries, if any.

## Possible follow-up and

guidance to interviewer
The interviewee should be specific in asking for revenues streams, e.g. either per company/year (multiplied with the no of companies) or per customer/year (multiplied wtih the no of customers/company and no of companies) or ask for price and volume (no of companies and no of customers/company), and demostrate understanding on how a consolidation can boost revenues (revenue-synergies).

| Costs |
| :--- |
| Target companies: Explore the costs of |
| the target companies; fixed costs |
| (SG\&A) and variable costs |
| (labor, material), and the potential |
| affect of the consolidation on these |
| costs. |
| Acquiring company: Explore the |
| acquisition costs (deal price) and any |
| other affects of the acquisition on the |
| costs (e.g. increased SG\&A costs etc.). |
| Possible follow-up and |
| guidance to inter viewer |

The interviewee should demonstrate understanding of cost structure for the target companies and the acquisition company, as well as how a consolidation can decrease overall costs (cost synergies).

## Industry

Customers: Understand the target companies' customers by exploring their needs, demographics, loyalty, satisfactio n with companies' services and any recent changes in their behavior that could affect their needs and/or use of the services (e.g. temperature changes in the environment) and how these could affect future revenue streams.
Competitors: Understand the competitive landscape of the industry, major market players, their market shares, products, pricing stretegies and geographic focuses, as well as expectations of potential new market entrants.
Regulation: Understand anti-trust regulation and whether that could be a deal-breaker.

## Sample solution element - Math

| Math Question | If the interviewer does not attempt to calculate costs and revenues (profits) after the consolidation, the <br> interviewer should ask her to calculate profits for the target companies. For simplicity reasons we <br> assume that there are no changes in the acquiring company's revenues and costs, or any other <br> acquisition costs apart from the deal price. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Alternative
Calculation
Approaches

Note that there are several ways of calculating the NPV in this case, however, most of them are more time consuming. Since none of the information is given upfront, note that the interviewee may make some assumptions (e.g. discount rate), which is all right as long as they are reasonable.

## Sample solution element - recommendation et al.

| Recommendation | The decision whether the client should make the proposed investment should be based primarily on the <br> deal price. Given that we have just calculated the NPV of the investment to be around $\$ 5 \mathrm{~B}$, the client <br> should not pay more than that. The more they can negotiate the price down from $\$ 5 \mathrm{~B}$, the better the <br> return on the investment will be. However, before they close the deal, they should first gather more data <br> and perform a more careful analysis on revenue, costs, and profit growth especially for the longer-term <br> projections. And second, they should consider other investments, and compare the NPVs and ROIs. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Risks | First, for the lack of data, we have assumed that there are were no anti-trust issues with the <br> consolidation, but that would have to be verified with an attorney before proceeding with the <br> negotiations. Second, we have not had a chance to analyze the competitive landscape and the customer <br> base which could both importantly impact the revenue projections. Finally, we have not probed and <br> verified any other assumptions on which the costs and revenue projections were made, which could also <br> significantly impact the NPV calculations. |
| Next Steps | As suggested above, verify the assumptions on which the revenue/cost projections were made, obtain an <br> opinion from an anti-trust attorney and consider other investment opportunities. |

## BONUS

The more investment criteria the interviewee considers at the beginning and the more shortcuts used while calculating the NPV, the better.

One other twist is to also evaluate pay-back. Say, price is \$2B and client typically expects pay-back in 3 years for their projects. Answer will be do not purchase according to the calculation, but see if interviewee tries to persuade you as to why the client should be using DCF/NPV instead as the risk of this acquisition (reflected in discount rate) makes the return worthwhile and using same payback requirement across all project types is likely incorrect.
$\square$ MasterTheCase
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